Master of Science (Mathematics) Semester – II Paper Code –

# **THEORY OF FIELD EXTENSIONS**

# M.Sc. (Mathematics) (DDE) Paper Code : Theory of Field Extensions

M. Marks = 100 Term End Examination = 80 Assignment = 20

# Time = 3 Hours

# **Course Outcomes**

Students would be able to:

- **CO1** Use diverse properties of field extensions in various areas.
- CO2 Establish the connection between the concept of field extensions and Galois Theory.
- CO3 Describe the concept of automorphism, monomorphism and their linear independence in field theory.
- CO4 Compute the Galois group for several classical situations.
- **CO5** Solve polynomial equations by radicals along with the understanding of ruler and compass constructions.

# Section - I

Extension of fields: Elementary properties, Simple Extensions, Algebraic and transcendental Extensions. Factorization of polynomials, Splitting fields, Algebraically closed fields, Separable extensions, Perfect fields.

# Section - II

Galios theory: Automorphism of fields, Monomorphisms and their linear independence, Fixed fields, Normal extensions, Normal closure of an extension, The fundamental theorem of Galois theory, Norms and traces.

# Section - III

Normal basis, Galios fields, Cyclotomic extensions, Cyclotomic polynomials, Cyclotomic extensions of rational number field, Cyclic extension, Wedderburn theorem.

# Section - IV

Ruler and compasses construction, Solutions by radicals, Extension by radicals, Generic polynomial, Algebraically independent sets, Insolvability of the general polynomial of degree  $n \ge 5$  by radicals.

Note :The question paper of each course will consist of **five** Sections. Each of the sections **I to IV** will contain **two** questions and the students shall be asked to attempt **one** question from each. **Section-V** shall be **compulsory** and will contain **eight** short answer type questions without any internal choice covering the entire syllabus.

# **Books Recommended:**

- 1. Luther, I.S., Passi, I.B.S., Algebra, Vol. IV-Field Theory, Narosa Publishing House, 2012.
- 2. Stewart, I., Galios Theory, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2004.
- 3. Sahai, V., Bist, V., Algebra, Narosa Publishing House, 1999.
- 4. Bhattacharya, P.B., Jain, S.K., Nagpaul, S.R., Basic Abstract Algebra (2nd Edition), Cambridge University Press, Indian Edition, 1997.
- 5. Lang, S., Algebra, 3rd edition, Addison-Wesley, 1993.
- 6. Adamson, I. T., Introduction to Field Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- 7. Herstein, I.N., Topics in Algebra, Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi, 1975.

# Contents

CHAPTER	SECTION	TITLE OF CHAPTER	PAGE NO.
1	1	Extension of Fields	1-27
2	2	Galois Theory	28-43
3	3	Galois Fields	44-60
4	4	Ruler and Compass Construction	61-66

# 1

# **Extension of a Field**

# Structure

- 1.1. Introduction.
- 1.2. Field.
- 1.3. Extension of a Field.
- 1.4. Minimal Polynomial.
- 1.5. Factor Theorem.
- 1.6. Splitting Field.
- 1.7. Separable Polynomial.
- 1.8. Check Your Progress.
- 1.9. Summary.

**1.1. Introduction.** In this chapter field theory is discussed in detail. The concept of minimal polynomial, degree of an extension and their relation is given. Further the results related to the order of a finite field and its multiplicative group are discussed.

**1.1.1. Objective.** The objective of these contents is to provide some important results to the reader like:

(i) Algebraic extension and transcendental extension.

- (ii) Minimal polynomials and degree of an extension.
- (iii) Splitting fields, separable and inseparable extensions.
- 1.1.2. Keywords. Extension of a Field, Minimal Polynomial, Splitting Fields.

1.2. Field. A non-empty set with two binary operations denoted as "+" and "\*" is called a field if it is

- (i) abelian group w.r.t. "+"
- (ii) abelian group w.r.t. "\*"
- (iii) "\*" is distributive over "+".

**1.3. Extension of a Field.** Let K and F be any two fields and  $\sigma: F \to K$  be a monomorphism. Then,  $F \cong \sigma(F) \subseteq K$ . Then,  $(K, \sigma)$  is called an extension of field F. Since  $F \cong \sigma(F)$  and  $\sigma(F)$  is a subfield of K, so we may regard F as a subfield of K. So, if K and F are two fields such that F is a subfield of K then K is called an extension of F and we denote it by  ${}^{K} \setminus_{F}$  or  $K \mid F$  or  $I_{F}^{K}$ .

Note. (i) Every field is an extension of itself.

(ii) Every field is an extension of its every subfield, for example, R is a field extension of Q and C is a field extension of R.

**Remark.** Let K | F be any extension. Then, F is a subfield of K. we define a mapping  $\phi : FxK \to K$  by setting

 $\phi(\lambda, k) = \lambda k$  for all  $\lambda \in F, k \in K$ .

We observe that K becomes a vector space over F under this scalar multiplication. Thus, K must have a basis and dimension over F.

**1.3.1. Degree of an extension.** The dimension of K as a vector space over F is called degree of K | F, that is, degree of K | F = [K : F].

If  $[K : F] = n < \infty$ , then we say that K is a finite extension of F of degree n

and, if  $[K : F] = \infty$ , then we say that K is an infinite extension of F.

Note. Every field is a vector space over itself. Therefore, deg F | F = deg K | K = 1.

Also, we have [K : F] = 1 iff K = F and [K : F] > 1 iff  $K \neq F$ .  $[F \subseteq K]$ 

**1.3.2. Example.** [C : R] = 2, because basis of vector space C over the field R is  $\{1, i\}$ , that is, every complex number can be generated by this set. Hence [C : R] = 2.

**1.3.3. Transcendental Number.** A number (real or complex) is said to be transcendental if it does not satisfy any polynomial over rationals, for example,  $\pi, e$ . Note that every transcendental number is an irrational number but converse is not true. For example,  $\sqrt{2}$  is an irrational number but it is not transcendental because it satisfies the polynomial x<sup>2</sup>-2.

**1.3.4.** Algebraic Number. Let K | F be any extension. If  $\alpha \in K$  and  $\alpha$  satisfies a polynomial over F, that is,  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , where  $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + ... + \lambda_n x^n$ ;  $\lambda_i \in F$ . Then,  $\alpha$  is called algebraic over F.

If  $\alpha$  does not satisfy any polynomial over F, then  $\alpha$  is called transcendental over F. For example,  $\pi$  is transcendental over set of rationals but  $\pi$  is not transcendental over set of reals.

Note. Every element of F is always algebraic over F.

**1.3.5. Example.** R | Q is an infinite extension of Q, OR,  $[R : Q] = \infty$ .

**Solution.** We prove it by contradiction. Let, if possible, [R : Q] = n(finite).

Then, any subset of R having atleast (n+1) elements is always linearly dependent. In particular,  $\pi$  is a real number and we can take the set {1,  $\pi$ ,  $\pi^2, ..., \pi^n$ } of n+1 elements. Then, there exists scalars  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n \in Q$  (not all zero) such that

$$\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \pi + \lambda_2 \pi^2 + \dots + \lambda_n \pi^n = 0$$

Thus,  $\pi$  satisfies the polynomial  $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + ... + \lambda_n x^n$ . So,  $\pi$  is not a transcendental number, which is a contradiction.

Hence our supposition is wrong. Therefore,  $[R : Q] = \infty$ .

**1.3.6.** Algebraic Extension. The extension K | F is called algebraic extension if every element of K is algebraic over F. otherwise, K | F is said to be transcendental extension if atleast one element is not algebraic over F.

**1.3.7. Theorem.** Every finite extension is an algebraic extension.

**Proof.** Let K | F be any extension and let [K : F] = n(finite), that is, dim K | F = n.

Every element of F is obviously algebraic. Now,  $\alpha \in K$  be any arbitrary element. Consider the elements 1,  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha^2$ ,..., $\alpha^n$  in K.

Either all these elements are distinct, if not, then  $\alpha^i = \alpha^j$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Thus,  $\alpha^i - \alpha^j = 0$ .

Consider the polynomial  $f(x) = x^i - x^j \in F[x]$  and  $f(\alpha) = \alpha^i - \alpha^j = 0$ .

Thus,  $\alpha$  satisfies  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and hence  $\alpha$  is algebraic over F.

If 1,  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha^2,...,\alpha^n$  are all distinct, then these must be linearly dependent over F. so there exists  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n \in F$  (not all zero) such that

$$\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \alpha + \lambda_2 \alpha^2 + \dots + \lambda_n \alpha^n = 0$$

Thus,  $\alpha$  satisfies the polynomial  $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + ... + \lambda_n x^n$ . So,  $\alpha$  is algebraic over F.

Hence every finite extension is an algebraic extension.

**Remark.** Converse of above theorem is not true, that is, every algebraic extension is not a finite extension. We shall give an example for this later on.

**1.3.8.** Exercise. If an element  $\alpha$  satisfies one polynomial over F, then it satisfies infinitely many polynomials over F.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  satisfies  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . Then  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . We define h(x) = f(x)g(x) for any  $g(x) \in F[x]$ . Then  $\alpha$  also satisfies h(x). **1.4. Minimal Polynomial.** If p(x) be a polynomial over F of smallest degree satisfied by  $\alpha$ , then p(x) is called minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . W.L.O.G., we can assume that leading co-efficient in p(x) is 1, that is, p(x) is a monic polynomial.

.....

**1.4.1. Lemma.** If  $p(x) \in F[x]$  be a minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  and  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be any other polynomial such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , then p(x)/f(x).

**Proof.** Since F is a field so F[x] must be a unique factorization domain and so division algorithm hold in F[x]. therefore, there exists polynomial q(x) and r(x) such that f(x) = p(x)q(x)+r(x) where either r(x) = 0 or deg r(x) < deg p(x).

Now,  $f(\alpha) = 0 \implies p(\alpha)q(\alpha) + r(\alpha) = 0 \implies r(\alpha) = 0 \quad [\because p(\alpha) = 0]$ 

If  $r(x) \in F[x]$  is a non-zero polynomial, then it is a contradiction to minimality of p(x), since  $\deg r(x) < \deg p(x)$ . So, we must have r(x) = 0. Thus, f(x) = p(x)q(x).

Hence p(x)/f(x).

**1.4.2. Unique Factorization Domain.** An integral domain R with unity is called unique factorization domain if

- (i) Every non-zero element in R is either a unit in R or can be written as a product of finite number of irreducible elements of R.
- (ii) The decomposition in (i) above is unique upto the order and the associates of irreducible elements.

**Remark.** Let F be any field and F[x] be a ring of polynomials over F, then division algorithm hold in F[x].

1.4.3. Corollary. Minimal polynomial of an element is unique.

**Proof.** Let p(x) and q(x) be two minimal polynomials of  $\alpha$ . Since p(x) is a minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , so p(x)/q(x). Thus,

 $\deg p(x) < \deg q(x) \qquad \qquad \text{---}(1)$ 

Also, q(x) is a minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , so q(x)/p(x). Thus,

 $\deg q(x) < \deg p(x) \qquad \qquad \text{---(2)}$ 

By (1) and (2), degp(x) = degq(x). Hence

 $p(x) = \lambda q(x)$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ 

Now, p(x) and q(x) are both monic polynomials, so comparing the co-efficients of leading terms on both sides, we get  $\lambda = 1$ . Therefore, p(x) = q(x).

**Remark.**  $\alpha \in F$  iff deg p(x) = 1, where p(x) is minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . In this case,  $p(x) = x - \alpha$ .

**1.4.4. Irreducible Polynomial.** A polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is said to be irreducible over F if f(x) = g(x)h(x) for some polynomial  $g(x), h(x) \in F[x]$  imply that either deg g(x) = 0 or deg h(x) = 0.

## 1.4.5. Proposition. Minimal polynomial of any element is irreducible over F.

**Proof.** Let, if possible, minimal polynomial p(x) of  $\alpha \in F$  is reducible over F. Then, we have p(x) = q(x)t(x) for some  $q(x), t(x) \in F[x]$ .

Then,  $0 = p(\alpha) = q(\alpha)t(\alpha) \implies \text{ either } q(\alpha) = 0 \text{ or } t(\alpha) = 0$ 

which is not possible because  $\deg q(x) < \deg p(x)$  and  $\deg t(x) < \deg p(x)$  and p(x) is an irreducible polynomial.

1.4.6. Definition. Let S be a subset of a field K, then the subfield K' of K is said to be generated by S if

- (i)  $S \subseteq K'$
- (ii) For any subfield L of K,  $S \subseteq L$  implies  $K' \subseteq L$  and we denote the subfield generated by S by  $\langle S \rangle$ . Essentially the subfield generated by S is the intersection of all subfields of K which contains S.

**1.4.7. Definition.** Let K be a field extension of F and S be any subset of K, then the subfield of K generated by  $F \cup S$  is said to be the subfield of K generated by S over F and this subfield is denoted by F(S). However, if S is a finite set and its members are  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ , then we write  $F(S) = F(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ . Sometimes,  $F(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$  is also called adjunction of  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  over F.

**1.4.8. Definition.** A field K is said to be finitely generated over F if there exists a finite number of elements  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$  in K such that  $K = F(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ .

In particular, if K is generated by a single element 'a' over F, that is, K = F(a), then K is called a **simple** extension of F.

**1.4.9. Definition.** Let K | F be any field extension and let F[x] be the ring of polynomials over F. We define,

$$F[a] = \left\{ f(a) \colon f(x) \in F[x] \right\}$$

Let  $f(a) \in F[a]$  where  $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + ... + \lambda_n x^n \in F[x]$ . Clearly,

$$f(a) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 a + \lambda_2 a^2 + \dots + \lambda_n a^n \in F(a)$$

Thus,  $F[a] \subseteq F(a)$ .

**Remark.**  $a_1 \in F$  iff  $F(a_1) = F$ .

**1.4.10. Theorem.** Let K | F be any field extension. Then,  $a \in K$  is algebraic over F iff [F(a):F] is finite, that is F(a) is a finite extension over F. Moreover, [F(a):F] = n, where n is the degree of minimal polynomial of 'a' over F.

.....

**Proof.**Let [F(a): F] is finite and let [F(a): F] = n. Thus, dim<sub>F</sub> F(a) = n

Now, Consider the elements 1, a,  $a^2$ , ...,  $a^n$  in F(a).

These are (n+1) distinct elements of F(a), then these must be linearly dependent over F. so there exists  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n \in F$  (not all zero) such that

 $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 a + \lambda_2 a^2 + \dots + \lambda_n a^n = 0$ 

Thus, a satisfies the polynomial  $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + ... + \lambda_n x^n$ . So, a is algebraic over F.

Hence *a* is algebraic over F.

Conversely, let  $a \in K$  be algebraic over F.

Let  $p(x) \in F[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of 'a' over F. Further, let deg  $p(x) = n \ge 1$ .

We claim that [F(a) : F] = n.

Let  $p(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + ... + \lambda_n x^n$ ,  $\lambda_n \neq 0$  is the minimal polynomial of 'a' over F, so p(a) = 0 and, if  $g(x) \in F[x]$  is any polynomial such that g(a) = 0, then p(x)|g(x).

Consider  $t \in F[a]$ . Then, t = f(a) for some  $f(x) \in F[x]$ .

If  $t \neq 0$ , then  $f(a) \neq 0$ , that is, f(x) is not satisfied by 'a'. Thus,  $p(x) \nmid g(x)$ .

Since p(x) is irreducible in F[x] and  $f(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $p(x) \nmid f(x)$ .

As F[x] is an Euclidean ring, so we get g.c.d.(p(x), f(x)) = 1. Therefore, there exists polynomials  $h(x), g(x) \in F[x]$  such that

$$1 = f(x)g(x) + p(x)h(x)$$

Put x = a,  $1 = f(a)g(a) + p(a)h(a) \implies 1 = f(a)g(a)$ 

Now,  $g(x) \in F[x] \implies g(a) \in F[a] \implies f(a)$  is invertible.

We know that an integral domain in which every non-zero element is invertible is a field. Hence, F[a] is a field.

But we know that  $F[a] \subseteq F(a)$ , where F(a) is the field of quotients of F[a]. Therefore,

$$F[a] = F(a).$$

Let  $t \in F[a] = F(a) \implies t = f(a)$  for some  $f(x) \in F[x]$ .

Now,  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and  $p(x) \in F[x]$ , so by division algorithm, we can write

$$f(x) = p(x)q(x) + r(x)$$
 where either  $r(x) = 0$  or  $degr(x) < degp(x)$ .

So let  $r(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + ... + \lambda_{n-1} x^{n-1} \in F[x]$ 

Note that we are saying nothing about  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_{n-1}$  which enables us to take degree of r(x) is equal to (n-1).

Then, 
$$t = f(a) = p(a)q(a) + r(a) = r(a) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 a + \lambda_2 a^2 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} a^{n-1}$$

Thus, t is a linear combination of  $1, a, a^2, ..., a^{n-1}$  over F. Thus, the set  $\{1, a, a^2, ..., a^{n-1}\}$  generates F(a).

Let, if possible, the set  $\{1, a, a^2, ..., a^{n-1}\}$  is linearly dependent.

Thus, there exists scalars  $v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1} \in F$  (not all zero) such that

$$v_0 + v_1 a + v_2 a^2 + \dots + v_{n-1} a^{n-1} = 0$$

That is, 'a' satisfies a polynomial of (n-1) degree, which is a contradiction to minimal polynomial.

Hence  $\{1, a, a^2, ..., a^{n-1}\}$  is linearly dependent and so it is a basis for F(a) over F.

Therefore,  $[F(a): F] = n < \infty$ .

**1.4.11. Theorem.** Let K/F be a finite extension of degree n and L/K be a finite extension of degree m, then L/F is a finite extension of degree mn, that is

[L:F] = [L:K][K:F].

-OR- Prove that finite extension of a finite extension is also a finite extension.

**Proof.** Given that L/K be a finite extension such that [L:K] = m, that is  $\dim_{K} L = m$ .

Let  $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_m\}$  be a basis of L over K. Now, given that K/F is finite extension such that [K:F] = n, that is dim<sub>F</sub> K = n.

Let  $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$  be a basis of K over F.

Let  $\alpha \in L$ . Then,

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \ldots + \alpha_m x_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i, \qquad \alpha_i \in K$$

Now,  $\alpha_i \in K$  and  $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$  be a basis of K over F, so

$$\alpha_i = \alpha_{i1} y_1 + \alpha_{i2} y_2 + \dots + \alpha_{in} y_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} y_j, \qquad \alpha_{ij} \in F$$
  
Thus,  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} y_j \right) x_i = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} x_i y_j, \qquad \alpha_{ij} \in F \text{ and } x_i, y_j \in L$ 

Therefore,  $\{x_1y_1, x_1y_2, ..., x_1y_n, x_2y_1, x_2y_2, ..., x_2y_n, ..., x_my_1, x_my_2, ..., x_my_n\}$  spans L over F and have *mn* elements in number.

We claim that these mn elements are linearly independent over F.

If  $\alpha = 0$ , then

$$0 = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{ij} x_i y_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} y_j \right) x_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i x_i$$

Since  $\alpha_i \in K$  and  $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_m\}$  are L.I. over K. Thus,  $\alpha_i = 0$  for i = 1, 2, ..., m.

Again, since  $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}$  are L.I. over F. Thus,  $\alpha_{ij} = 0$  for j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Thus, 
$$\alpha_{ij} = 0$$
 for  $i = 1, 2, ..., m, j = 1, 2, ..., n$ .

So  $\{x_1y_1, x_1y_2, ..., x_1y_n, x_2y_1, x_2y_2, ..., x_2y_n, ..., x_my_1, x_my_2, ..., x_my_n\}$  is L.I. and hence it is basis for L over F.

Therefore, [L:F] = [L:K][K:F] = mn.

**1.4.12. Proposition.** If  $F \subseteq E \subseteq K$  and  $a \in K$  is algebraic over F, then

 $\left[E(a):E\right] \leq \left[F(a):F\right].$ 

**Proof.** Let  $F \subseteq E \subseteq K$  and  $a \in K$  is algebraic over F. Thus, there exists a polynomial

$$f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_n x^n \in F[x]$$

such that f(a) = 0.

Since  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and  $F \subseteq E \implies F[x] \subseteq E[x] \implies f[x] \in E[x]$  and f(a) = 0.

If p(x) is the minimal polynomial of 'a' over F and  $p_1(x)$  be minimal polynomial of 'a' over E, then  $p_1(x) | p(x)$ , since p(x) may be reducible in E[x], that is deg  $p_1(x) \le \deg p(x)$ .

Hence  $[E(a):E] \leq [F(a):F].$ 

**Remark.** Let K / F be any field extension, then

$$F(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) = F(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1})(a_n) = F(a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-2})(a_{n-1}, a_n)$$
  
= ...  
=  $F(a_1)(a_2, ..., a_{n-1}, a_n)$ 

**1.4.13. Theorem.** Let K / F be an algebraic extension and L / K is also algebraic extension, then L / F is an algebraic extension.

-OR- Prove that algebraic extension of an algebraic extension is also a algebraic extension.

**Proof.** To prove that L/F is algebraic extension, it is sufficient to show that every element of L is algebraic over F. Equivalently, we have to prove that if  $a \in L$ , then  $[F(a): F] < \infty$ .

Now, 'a' satisfies some polynomial f(x) over K[x], say  $f(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 x^2 + ... + \alpha_n x^n \in K[x]$ , where  $\alpha_i \in K$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ .

Now,  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$  are elements of K and K / F is an algebraic extension. Thus, each  $\alpha_i$  is algebraic over F.

Consider the element  $\alpha_0$ . Then,  $\alpha_0$  is algebraic over F. Thus,

$$[F(\alpha_0):F] < \infty \implies [F_0:F] < \infty$$
, where  $F_0 = F(\alpha_0)$ 

and we have  $F \subseteq F_0 \subseteq K$ .

Now,  $\alpha_1 \in K$  is algebraic over F. So by above remark, we have

$$\left[F_{0}(\alpha_{1}):F_{0}\right] \leq \left[F(\alpha_{1}):F\right] < \infty$$

Put  $F_0(\alpha_1) = F_1$ , then  $[F_1:F_0] < \infty$ .

So, we have  $F \subseteq F_0 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq K$ .

Now, consider  $F_1(\alpha_2) = F_1$ . Then, as discussed above, we have

$$[F_2:F_1] \leq [F_1(\alpha_2):F_1] < \infty.$$

In general similarly, we choose  $F_{i-1}(\alpha_i) = F_i$ , then  $[F_i: F_{i-1}] < \infty$ .

Then, by definition,  $F_{n-1}(\alpha_n) = F_n$ , then  $[F_n: F_{n-1}] < \infty$ .

By construction, we get that

$$F_n = F_{n-1}(\alpha_n) = F_{n-2}(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n) = \dots = F_0(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = F(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

Now, by last theorem, we have

$$[F_n:F] = [F_n:F_{n-1}][F_{n-1}:F_{n-2}]...[F_1:F_0][F_0:F].$$

Thus,  $[F_n : F]$  is finite since all the numbers on R.H.S. are finite.

Now, as  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n \in F_n$ , so  $f(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 x^2 + ... + \alpha_n x^n \in F_n[x]$  and since f(a) = 0. Thus, 'a' is algebraic over  $F_n$ . So

 $[F_n(a):F_n]$  = degree of minimal polynomial 'a' over  $F_n < \infty$ .

Therefore,  $[F_n(a):F] = [F_n(a):F_n][F_n:F] < \infty$ .

Thus,  $F_n(a)/F$  is a finite extension. So  $F_n(a)$  is algebraic extension over F. In turn, 'a' is algebraic over F.

Hence L is algebraic extension of F.

**1.4.14. Theorem.** Let K / F be any extension and let  $S = \{x \in K : x \text{ is algebraic over } F\}$ . Then, S is a subfield of K containing F and S is the largest algebraic extension of F contained in K.

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in F \subseteq K$ . Since  $\alpha$  satisfies a polynomial  $f(x) = x - \alpha$  in F[x], so  $\alpha$  is algebraic over F. Thus,  $\alpha \in S$  and so  $F \subseteq S$ . So, S is non-empty.

Let  $a, b \in S$ . We claim that  $a - b \in S$  and if  $b \neq 0$ , then  $ab^{-1} \in S$ . Since K is a field, therefore, trivially  $a - b \in K$  and if  $b \neq 0$ , then  $ab^{-1} \in K$ .

Now, to prove that  $a-b \in S$  and if  $b \neq 0$ , then  $ab^{-1} \in S$  it is sufficient to show that a-b and  $ab^{-1}$  are algebraic over F. We have  $a \in S$ , that is, 'a' is algebraic over F. Thus,  $[F(a):F] < \infty$ .

Put  $F(a) = F_1$ , so  $[F_1 : F] < \infty$ .

Also,  $b \in S$ , that is, 'b' is algebraic over F. Thus,  $[F(b):F] < \infty$ .

Now, b is algebraic over F and  $F \subseteq F_1 \subseteq K$ . So, b is algebraic over  $F_1$  and

 $[F_1(b):F_1] < [F(b):F] < \infty$ 

Now,  $[F_1(b):F] = [F_1(b):F_1][F_1:F] < \infty$ . Thus,  $F_1(b)$  is finite extension of F and, thus, F(a,b) is an algebraic extension of F, as  $F_1(b) = F(a,b)$ . Hence every element F(a,b) is algebraic over F.

Since  $a, b \in F(a, b) \implies a - b \in F(a, b)$  and  $ab^{-1} \in F(a, b)$ .

Thus, a-b and ab<sup>-1</sup> are algebraic over F.

So,  $a-b, ab^{-1} \in S$  and, therefore, S is a subfield of K containing F. Hence S is an algebraic extension of F.

Let E be any other algebraic extension such that  $F \subseteq E \subseteq K$ . Let  $\alpha \in E \subseteq K \Rightarrow \alpha \in K$ . Therefore,  $\alpha$  is algebraic over F. Thus,  $\alpha \in S \Rightarrow E \subseteq S$ .

So, S is the largest algebraic extension of F contained in K.

**1.4.15. Corollary.** If K / F is algebraic extension. Then, K = S.

**Proof.** In above theorem, S is a subfield of K. Therefore,  $S \subseteq K$ .

Also, S is the largest algebraic extension of F and K is an algebraic extension of F. Therefore,  $K \subseteq S$ .

Hence S = K.

Note. In above theorem, the field S is called algebraic closure of F in K.

**1.4.16.** Corollary. If K/F be any extension and  $a, b \in K$  be algebraic over F. Then, a+b, a-b, ab and  $ab^{-1}(b \neq 0)$  are also algebraic over F.

**Proof.** If a and b are algebraic over F, then F(a,b) is algebraic extension of F. So, every element of F(a,b) is algebraic over F. This implies a+b, a-b, ab and  $ab^{-1}(b \neq 0)$  are also algebraic over F.

**1.4.17** Eisenstein Criterion of Irreducibility. Let  $f(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 x^2 + ... + \alpha_n x^n$  where  $\alpha_i \in Z, \alpha_n \neq 0$ . Let p be a prime number such that  $p | \alpha_0, p | \alpha_1, ..., p | \alpha_{n-1}, p \nmid \alpha_n$  and  $p^2 \nmid \alpha_0$ , then f(x) is irreducible over the rationals.

1.4.18. Counter Example. Example to show that every algebraic extension need not be finite.

Let C be the field of complex numbers and Q be the field of rationals. Then  $z \in C$  is called an algebraic integer if it is algebraic over Q.

Let  $E = \{z \in C : z \text{ is algebraic integer}\}$ .

Then, trivially  $Q \subseteq E$  and so E is a subfield of C containing Q such that E/Q is algebraic extension.

We claim that E/Q is an infinite extension.

Let, if possible,  $[E:Q] = n < \infty$ .

Consider the polynomial  $f(x) = x^{n+1}$ -p, where p is some prime.

Then, by Eisenstein criterion of irreducibility, f(x) is irreducible over Q. Let  $\alpha$  be any zero of the polynomial f(x). Then,  $\alpha$  will be a complex number such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Thus,  $\alpha \in E$ .

Since  $f(x) = x^{n+1}$ -p is irreducible monic polynomial satisfied by  $\alpha \in E$ , therefore, f(x) is minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over Q. So,

$$[Q(\alpha):Q] = n+1$$

Now,  $\alpha \in E$  and  $Q \subseteq E$ . So,  $Q(\alpha) \subseteq E$ , since  $Q(\alpha)$  is the smallest field containing Q and  $\alpha$ . Therefore,

 $[Q(\alpha):Q] \leq [E:Q] \implies n+1 \leq n$ 

which is a contradiction. Thus, E/Q is an infinite extension.

**1.5. Factor Theorem.** Let K / F be any extension and  $f(x) \in F[x]$ , then the element  $a \in K$  is a root of polynomial f(x) iff (x-a) | f(x) in K[x], that is, iff there exists some g(x) in K[x] such that f(x) = (x-a)g(x).

**Proof.** Let (x-a)|f(x) in K[x]. Then, we have f(x) = (x-a)g(x) for some some g(x) in K[x]. Therefore,

$$f(a) = (a-a)g(a) = 0$$

Thus, 'a' is a root of f(x).

Conversely, let 'a' be a root of f(x) where  $a \in K$ .

.....

Consider thepolynomial x-a in K[x].

Now,  $f(x) \in F[x] \subseteq K[x]$ . Therefore, by division algorithm in K[x], there exists unique polynomials q(x) and r(x) in K[x] such that

.....

f(x) = (x-a)q(x) + r(x)

where either r(x) = 0 or degr(x) < deg(x-a) = 1, that is, r(x) = constant.

But f(a) = 0, implies that r(a) = 0. Thus, r(x) = 0.

Hence f(x) = (x-a)g(x). Therefore, (x-a)|f(x) in K[x].

Note. We have earlier proved that if 'a' is algebraic over F, then F[a] = F(a).

**1.5.1. Theorem.** Let K / F be any extension and  $a \in K$  is algebraic over F. Let  $p(x) \in F[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of 'a'. Then,

 $F[x]/\langle p(x)\rangle \cong F[a] = F(a).$ 

**Proof.** Consider the rings F[x] and F[a]. We define the mapping  $\eta: F[x] \to F[a]$  by setting

$$\eta(f(x)) = f(a)$$

We claim that  $\eta$  is an onto ring homomorphism.

Let  $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$ . Then,

$$\eta(f(x)+g(x)) = f(a)+g(a) = \eta(f(x))+\eta(g(x))$$

and  $\eta(f(x)g(x)) = f(a)g(a) = \eta(f(x))\eta(g(x))$ 

Thus,  $\eta$  is a ring homomorphism.

Again, let  $\alpha \in F[a]$ , then  $\alpha = h(a)$  for some  $h(x) \in F[x]$ .

Then,  $\eta(h(x)) = h(a) = \alpha$ .

Thus,  $\eta$  is onto.

By Fundamental theorem of ring homomorphism

$$F[x]/Ker\eta \cong F[a]$$

Now, we claim that  $Ker\eta = \langle p(x) \rangle$ .

Let  $f(x) \in Ker\eta \implies \eta(f(x)) = 0 \implies f(a) = 0 \implies a \text{ satisfies } f(x)$ .

 $\Rightarrow p(x) | f(x)$ , since p(x) is minimal polynomial.

 $\Rightarrow f(x) = p(x)q(x)$ , for some  $q(x) \in F[x]$ .

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = \langle p(x) \rangle.$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Ker $\eta \subseteq < p(x) >$ .

Again, let  $f(x) \in \langle p(x) \rangle$ .

$$\Rightarrow f(x) = p(x)q(x), \text{ for some } q(x) \in F[x]$$
  

$$\Rightarrow f(a) = p(a)q(a).$$
  

$$\Rightarrow f(a) = 0.$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \eta(f(x)) = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) \in Ker\eta$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \langle p(x) \rangle \subset Ker\eta.$$

Thus,  $Ker\eta = \langle p(x) \rangle$  and so

$$F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle \cong F[a]$$

Since 'a' is algebraic over F, therefore, F[a] = F(a) and hence

$$F[x] / < p(x) > \cong F[a] = F(a)$$

Note. In the above theorem, preimage of 'a' is x+f(x), where  $f(x) \in \langle p(x) \rangle$ .

**Proof.**  $\eta(x+f(x)) = \eta(x+p(x)q(x)) = \eta(x) + \eta(p(x)q(x)) = a + p(a)q(a) = a$ .

**1.5.2.** Conjugates. Let K/F be any extension. Two algebraic elements  $a, b \in K$  are said to be conjugates over the field F if they have the same minimal polynomial, that is, we can say that all the roots of a minimal polynomial are conjugates of each other.

**1.5.3. Corollary.** If 'a' and 'b' are two conjugate elements of K over F, where K/F is an extension. Then,  $F(a) \cong F(b)$ .

**Proof.** Let p(x) be the minimal polynomial of 'a' and 'b' both. Then by above theorem

 $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle \cong F[a] \text{ and } F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle \cong F[b] \implies F[a] \cong F[b]$ 

**1.5.4.** Corollary. If 'a' and 'b' are any two conjugates over F, then there always exists an isomorphism  $\psi: F[a] \to F[b]$  such that  $\psi(a) = b$  and  $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in F$ .

**Proof.** Given that 'a' and 'b' are conjugates over F, therefore, they satisfy same minimal polynomial, say p(x), over F. Then, there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma_1 : F(a) \to F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  given by

$$\sigma_1(\lambda) = \lambda + \langle p(x) \rangle \text{ and } \sigma_1(a) = x + \langle p(x) \rangle. \qquad \dots (1)$$

Further, p(x) is also minimal polynomial for 'b', so there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma_2: F(b) \to F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  given by

$$\sigma_2(\lambda) = \lambda + \langle p(x) \rangle \text{ and } \sigma_2(b) = x + \langle p(x) \rangle. \tag{2}$$

Consider  $F(a) \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} F[x] / \langle p(x) \rangle \xrightarrow{\sigma_2^{-1}} F(b)$ . Take,  $\psi = \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1$ . Then,

$$\psi(a) = \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1(a) = \sigma_2^{-1}(x + \langle p(x) \rangle) = b$$

and

 $\psi(\lambda) = \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_1(\lambda) = \sigma_2^{-1}(\lambda + \langle p(x) \rangle) = \lambda.$ 

**1.5.5. Definition.** Let K / F be any extension and  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be a non-zero polynomial. Then, 'a' is said to be a root of f(x) of multiplicity  $m \ge 1$  if  $(x-a)^m | f(x)$  but  $(x-a)^{m+1} \nmid f(x)$ .

**1.5.6. Proposition.** Let  $p(x) \in F[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial over F. Then, there always exists an extension E of F which contains atleast one root of p(x) and  $[E:F] = n = \deg p(x)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $I = \langle p(x) \rangle$  be an ideal of F[x]. Now, we know that a ring of polynomials over a field is a Euclidean domain and any ideal of Euclidean domain is maximal iff it is generated by some irreducible element. So, F[x] is a Euclidean domain and  $I = \langle p(x) \rangle$  is a maximal ideal as p(x) is irreducible.

Now, since every Euclidean domain possess unity, therefore, F[x] is a commutative ring with unity. We further know that if R is a commutative ring with unity and M is a maximal ideal of R, then R/M is a field. So,  $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$  is a field.

We claim that E is an extension of F.

We define a mapping  $\sigma: F \to E$  by setting

$$\sigma(\lambda) = \overline{\lambda} = \lambda + I \text{ for all } \lambda \in F.$$

Then, for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in F$ , we have

$$\sigma(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + I = (\lambda_1 + I) + (\lambda_2 + I) = \sigma(\lambda_1) + \sigma(\lambda_2)$$

and  $\sigma(\lambda_1\lambda_2) = \lambda_1\lambda_2 + I = (\lambda_1 + I)(\lambda_2 + I) = \sigma(\lambda_1)\sigma(\lambda_2)$ 

Therefore,  $\sigma$  is a homomorphism.

Also, if 
$$\sigma(\lambda_1) = \sigma(\lambda_2) \implies \lambda_1 + I = \lambda_2 + I \implies \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + I = I = \langle p(x) \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \in \langle p(x) \rangle \Rightarrow p(x) | \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$$

Therefore,  $\sigma$  is monomorphism.

Thus,  $(E, \sigma)$  is an extension of F.

Let 
$$p(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_n x^n \in I = \langle p(x) \rangle$$

Consider the element  $\overline{x} = x + I \in E$ . Then,

$$p(\overline{x}) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \overline{x} + \lambda_2 \overline{x}^2 + \dots + \lambda_n \overline{x}^n = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_n x^n + I = p(x) + I = I$$

Thus, p(x) has a root  $\overline{x}$  in E.

We claim that  $\overline{1}, \overline{x}, \overline{x}^2, ..., \overline{x}^{n-1}$  form a basis of E over F. Let us consider a representation

.....

$$\lambda_0 \overline{1} + \lambda_1 \overline{x} + \lambda_2 \overline{x}^2 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \overline{x}^{n-1} = \overline{0}, \text{ identity of E}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} x^{n-1} + I = I$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} x^{n-1} \in I = \langle p(x) \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad p(x) \mid \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{n-1} = 0 \quad (\because \deg p(x) = n)$$

Thus,  $\overline{1}, \overline{x}, \overline{x}^2, ..., \overline{x}^{n-1}$  are linearly independent.

Further, let  $\alpha \in E = F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle$ , then  $\alpha = f(x) + I$  for some  $f(x) \in F[x]$ .

We can write f(x) = p(x)q(x) + r(x), where either r(x) = 0 or degr(x) < degp(x).

Then,

$$\alpha = f(x) + I = [p(x)q(x) + r(x)] + I$$
  
= [p(x)q(x) + I] + [r(x) + I] = I + r(x) + I = r(x) + I

But degr(x) < n, therefore,

$$\alpha = r(x) + I = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2 + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} x^{n-1} + I$$
  
=  $\gamma_0 (1+I) + \gamma_1 (x+I) + \gamma_2 (x^2+I) + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} (x^{n-1}+I)$   
=  $\gamma_0 \overline{1} + \gamma_1 \overline{x} + \gamma_2 \overline{x}^2 + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} \overline{x}^{n-1}$ 

Thus,  $\overline{1}, \overline{x}, \overline{x}^2, \dots, \overline{x}^{n-1}$  generates E and so it is a basis for E.

Hence we get [E : F] = n = degp(x).

**1.5.7. Theorem.** Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be any polynomial of degree  $n \ge 1$ , then no extension of F contains more than n roots of f(x).

**Proof.** Given that  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and degf(x) = n.

If n = 1, then  $f(x) = \alpha x + \beta$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in F, \alpha \neq 0$ .

Consider the element  $-\beta \alpha^{-1} \in F$ . Then,  $f(-\beta \alpha^{-1}) = 0$ . Thus,  $-\beta \alpha^{-1}$  is a root of f(x).

Let K be any extension of F and let  $\theta$  be any root of f(x) in K, then

 $f(\theta) = 0 \implies \alpha \theta + \beta = 0 \implies \theta = -\beta \alpha^{-1}$ 

So, any extension K of F contains the only root  $-\beta \alpha^{-1}$  of f(x). Therefore, K cannot contain more than one root of the polynomial f(x).

Since K was an arbitrary extension, so Theorem is true for n = 1.

Let us assume that the result is true for all polynomials of degree less than degree of f(x) over any field.

Now, let E be any extension of F. If E does not contain any root of f(x), then result is trivially true.

So, let E contain at least one root of the polynomial f(x) say 'a'. Then, we have to prove that E does not contain more than n roots. Since  $a \in E$  and 'a' is a root of f(x). suppose the multiplicity of 'a' is m. Then, by definition, we can write

$$f(x) = (x-a)^m g(x), \qquad g(x) \in E[x]$$

and  $(x-a)^m | f(x)$  but  $(x-a)^{m+1} | f(x)$ .

Now,  $(x-a)^m | f(x)$ , therefore,  $m \le n$ .

Further,  $g(x) \in E[x]$  and degg(x) = n-m < n.

Therefore, by induction hypothesis, any extension of E does not contain more than n-m roots of g(x). So, E / E being an extension of E cannot contain more than n-m roots of g(x). Now, any root of g(x) is also a root of f(x) and a root of f(x) other than 'a' is also a root of g(x). Hence f(x) cannot have more than (n-m)+m, that is, n roots in any extension of F.

**1.5.8. Theorem.** Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be any polynomial of degree n. Then, there exists an extension E of F containing all the roots of f(x) and  $[E:F] \le n!$ .

**Proof.** We prove the result by induction on n.

Given that  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be a polynomial of degree n.

If n = 1, then  $f(x) = \alpha x + \beta$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , with a root  $-\beta \alpha^{-1}$ . Since

 $\alpha, \beta \in F \implies -\beta \alpha^{-1} \in F.$ 

Hence F contains all the roots of the given polynomial with  $[F:F] = 1 \le 1!$ .

Thus, result is true for n = 1.

Let n > 1 and suppose that result is true for any polynomial of degree less that n over any field.

Then,  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is either irreducible or f(x) has an irreducible factor over F. Now, let  $p(x) \in F[x]$  be any irreducible factor of f(x). Then, deg  $p(x) \le \deg f(x) = n$ .

Suppose that degp(x) = m. Then,  $p(x) \in F[x]$  is irreducible polynomial over F with degp(x) = m. Therefore, there exists an extension E' of F containing atleast one root of p(x) and  $[E':F] = m \le n$ .

Let  $\alpha$  be a root of p(x) in E', then  $\alpha$  is also a root of f(x). So, we get that  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is a polynomial with root  $\alpha \in E'$  such that  $[E':F] = m \le n$ . Since  $\alpha \in E'$  is a root of f(x) so  $(x-\alpha) | f(x)$  in E'[x].

Hence we can write  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$  where  $g(x) \in E'[x]$  and degg(x) = n-1. Now,  $g(x) \in E'[x]$  and degg(x) = n-1 < n.

Therefore, by induction hypothesis, there exists an extension E of E' such that E contains all the roots of g(x) and  $[E:E'] \le n-1!$ .

Since  $\alpha \in E' \subseteq E \implies \alpha \in E$  also.

Therefore, E is an extension of F which contains all the roots of f(x). Then, we have

 $[E:F] = [E:E'][E':F] \le n-1!.m \le n(n-1)! \le n!.$ 

**1.5.9. Remark.** Let R and R' be any rings and  $\sigma: R \to R'$  is an isomorphism onto. Consider the rings R[x] and R'[t]. Then,  $\sigma$  can be extended to an isomorphism from R[x] to R'[t].

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) \in R[x]$  and  $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + ... + \lambda_n x^n$ .

We define  $\overline{\sigma}: R[x] \to R'[t]$  by setting

$$\overline{\sigma}(f(x)) = \sigma(\lambda_0) + \sigma(\lambda_1)t + \sigma(\lambda_2)t^2 + \dots + \sigma(\lambda_n)t^n$$

We claim that  $\bar{\sigma}$  is an extension of  $\sigma$  and is an isomorphism also.

Let  $g(x) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2 + ... + \gamma_m x^m \in R[x]$ . Then, if  $k = \max\{m, n\}$ 

$$\overline{\sigma}(f(x) + g(x)) = \sigma(\lambda_0 + \gamma_0) + \sigma(\lambda_1 + \gamma_1)t + \sigma(\lambda_2 + \gamma_2)t^2 + \dots + \sigma(\lambda_k + \gamma_k)t^k$$
$$= \sigma(\lambda_0) + \sigma(\gamma_0) + [\sigma(\lambda_1) + \sigma(\gamma_1)]t + \dots + [\sigma(\lambda_k) + \sigma(\gamma_k)]t^k$$
$$= \overline{\sigma}(f(x)) + \overline{\sigma}(g(x))$$

Similarly, we can show that

 $\overline{\sigma}(f(x)g(x)) = \overline{\sigma}(f(x))\overline{\sigma}(g(x))$ 

Therefore,  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a ring homomorphism.

We claim that  $\bar{\sigma}$  is one-one.

Let 
$$f(x) \in \ker \overline{\sigma} \implies \overline{\sigma}(f(x)) = 0$$
, identity of  $\mathbb{R}[x]$   
 $\implies \sigma(\lambda_0) + \sigma(\lambda_1)t + \sigma(\lambda_2)t^2 + \dots + \sigma(\lambda_n)t^n = 0 \implies \sigma(\lambda_i) = 0$  for all  $0 \le i \le n$ 

Since  $\sigma$  is a monomorphism, so  $\lambda_i = 0$  for all  $0 \le i \le n$ .

Thus,  $f(x) = 0 \implies \ker \overline{\sigma} = \{0\}$ 

Therefore,  $\overline{\sigma}$  is a monomorphism.

We claim that  $\bar{\sigma}$  is onto.

Let  $f'(t) \in R'[t]$  and  $f'(t) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 t + \dots + \gamma_n t^n$  where  $\gamma_i \in R'$ .

Now, since  $\sigma: R \to R'$  is onto, therefore, there exists  $\gamma_i \in R$  such that  $\sigma(\gamma_i) = \gamma'_i$ .

Consider  $f(x) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 x + \gamma_2 x^2 + ... + \gamma_n x^n \in R[x]$  and we have

$$\overline{\sigma}(f(x)) = f'(t)$$

Therefore,  $\bar{\sigma}$  is onto.

**Remark.** If  $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x^2 + ... + \lambda_n x^n$ . Then,  $f'(t) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 t + ... + \lambda_n t^n$  where  $\sigma(\lambda_i) = \lambda_i$  is called the **corresponding polynomial** of f(x) in R'[t].

**Remark.**  $f(x) \in R[x]$  is irreducible iff  $f'(t) \in R'[t]$  is irreducible, where f'(t) is corresponding polynomial of f(x). Also, if A is any ideal in R[x] then  $\overline{\sigma}(A)$  is also an ideal of R'[t]. Further, A is maximal iff  $\overline{\sigma}(A)$  is maximal. Also, we can find an isomorphism  $\sigma^*$  such that  $\sigma^*: R[x]/A \to R'[t]/\overline{\sigma}(A)$  given by

$$\sigma^*(f(x)+A) = f'(t) + \overline{\sigma}(A).$$

**1.5.10. Proposition.** Let  $\eta: F \to F'$  be an isomorphism onto. Let p(x) be any irreducible polynomial of degree n in F[x] and p'(t) be corresponding polynomial in F'(t). Let u be any root of p(x) and v be any root of p'(t) in some extension of F and F' respectively. Then, there exists an isomorphism, say  $\mu: F(u) \to F'(v)$  which is onto and is such that  $\mu(\lambda) = \eta(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in F$  and  $\mu(u) = v$ .

**Proof.** Given that  $p(x) \in F[x]$  is irreducible polynomial over F with root u which is in some extension of F. Then, we know that there exists an isomorphism onto, say  $\sigma_1 : F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle \to F(u)$  given by

$$\sigma_1(f(x) + \langle p(x) \rangle) = f(u)$$

and [F(u) : F] = degree of minimal polynomial of u over F.

Since p'(t) is irreducible polynomial over F' and vis a root of p'(t) in some extension of F', so there exists an isomorphism onto, say  $\sigma_2 : F'[t]/\langle p'(t) \rangle \to F'(v)$  given by

$$\sigma_2(g'(t) + \langle p'(t) \rangle) = g'(v)$$

Now,  $\eta: F \to F'$  is given to be an isomorphism onto. By last remarks, we have  $\eta$  is also an extension of  $\eta$  from  $F(x) \to F'(t)$  with  $\eta(p(x)) = p'(t)$  and correspondingly, we denote the isomorphism for  $F[x]/\langle p(x) \rangle \to F'[t]/\langle p'(t) \rangle$  by  $\eta$  again. Now, we have

$$\begin{split} &\sigma_1^{-1}: F(u) \to F[x]/< p(x) > \\ &\eta: F[x]/< p(x) > \to F'[t]/< p'(t) > \\ &\sigma_2: F'[t]/< p'(t) > \to F'(v) \end{split}$$

Consider  $\mu = \sigma_2 \eta \sigma_1^{-1} : F(u) \to F'(v)$ .

Now,  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\sigma_1^{-1}$  are all isomorphism onto, therefore,  $\mu$  is also isomorphism onto.

For  $\lambda \in F$ , we have

$$\mu(\lambda) = \sigma_2 \eta \sigma_1^{-1}(\lambda) = \sigma_2 \eta \left( \sigma_1^{-1}(\lambda) \right) = \sigma_2 \eta (\lambda + \langle p(x) \rangle) = \sigma_2(\eta(\lambda) + \langle p'(t) \rangle) = \eta(\lambda)$$

Now, compute

$$\mu(u) = \sigma_2 \eta \sigma_1^{-1}(u) = \sigma_2 \eta(x + \langle p(x) \rangle) = \sigma_2(t + \langle p'(t) \rangle) = v.$$

**1.6. Splitting Field.** Let F be any field and  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be any polynomial over F. An extension E of F is called a splitting field of f(x) over F if

- (i) f(x) is written as a product of linear factors over E.
- (ii) If E' is any other extension of F such that f(x) is written as product of linear factors over E', then  $E \subseteq E'$ .

**Remark.** We have proved a theorem that for any polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$ , where degf(x) = n, there always exist an extension E of F such that E contains all the roots of f(x) and  $[E:F] \le n!$ . So, we can say that splitting field of a polynomial is always a finite extension.

**1.6.1.** Another Form. Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$  be roots of f(x). Consider the extension  $K = F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$ . By definition, K is the smallest extension of F containing  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$ . Also, let E be the splitting field of F.

Now,  $F \subseteq E$  and also  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n \in E$ , therefore,  $K \subseteq E$ .

Also,  $E \subseteq K$ , since E is the splitting field. Therefore,

 $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{K}.$ 

Thus, splitting field is always obtained by adjunction of all the roots of f(x) with F. Hence if  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is a polynomial of degree n and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n$  are its roots, then splitting field is  $F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$ .

**1.6.2. Example.** Let F be any field and K be its extension. Let  $a \in K$  be algebraic over F of degree m and  $b \in K$  be algebraic over F of degree n such that (m, n) = 1. Then, [F(a,b):F] = mn.

Solution. Let p(x) be minimal polynomial of 'a' over F. Then,

degp(x) = m = [F(a) : F].

Let q(x) be the minimal polynomial of 'b' over F. Then,

$$degq(x) = n = [F(b) : F].$$

Now, 
$$[F(a,b):F] = [F(a,b):F(a)][F(a):F] = [F(a,b):F(b)][F(b):F]$$
 ...(\*)

Therefore, m = [F(a):F] | [F(a,b):F] and n = [F(b):F] | [F(a,b):F].

Since 
$$(m,n)=1 \implies mn \mid [F(a,b):F] \implies [F(a,b):F] \ge mn$$
 ...(1)

Now,  $a \in F(a,b)$  is algebraic over F with minimal polynomial p(x) of degree m.

Since  $F \subseteq F(b) \implies p(x) \in F(b)[x]$ . Therefore, 'a' is algebraic over F(b).

So, let t(x) be the minimal polynomial of 'a' over F(b).

Now,  $p(a) = 0 \implies t(x) \mid p(x) \implies \deg p(x) \ge \deg t(x) \implies \deg t(x) \le m$ .

$$\Rightarrow [F(a,b):F(b)] = [F(b)(a):F(b)] = \deg t(x) \le m$$

Then, by (\*),

$$[F(a,b):F] = [F(a,b):F(b)][F(b):F] \le mn \qquad \dots (1)$$

By (1) and (2), we have

[F(a,b):F] = mn.

1.6.3. Definition. A field F is said to be algebraically closed field if it has no algebraic extension.

Thus, a field is called algebraically closed if f(x) has splitting field E, then E = F. For example, field of complex numbers is algebraically closed.

1.6.4. Remark. Algebraically closed fields are always infinite.

Proof. Let F be any algebraically closed field and, if possible, suppose that F is finite. Then,  $F = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ . Consider the polynomial

 $f(x) = (x-a_1)(x-a_2)...(x-a_n)+1$ 

in F, where 1 is unity of F.

This polynomial has no roots in F. So, F cannot be algebraically closed.

Hence our supposition is wrong and so F must be infinite.

**1.6.5. Example.** Find the splitting field and its degree for the polynomial  $f(x) = x^3 - 2$  over Q.

**Solution.** Let  $x^3 - 2 \in Q[x]$ . Then,  $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}, \alpha w, \alpha w^2$  are its roots.

Let E be the splitting field of  $x^3 - 2$  over Q. Therefore,  $\alpha, \alpha w, \alpha w^2 \in E \implies w \in E$ .

Thus,  $E = Q(\alpha, w)$ 

Consider [E : Q]. Here,  $\alpha \in E$  and  $\alpha \not\in Q$ . So,

$$[E:Q] = [E:Q(\alpha)][Q(\alpha):Q]$$

Now,  $\alpha \not\in Q$ , therefore,

 $[Q(\alpha):Q]$  = degree of minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over Q = 3

since  $x^3 - 2$  is monic and irreducible.

Also,  $w \in E$  and  $w \not\in Q$ . Therefore,

[Q(w):Q] = 2

since basis of Q(w) over Q is {1,w}. Also,

[E:Q] = [E:Q(w)][Q(w):Q]

Since (2, 3) = 1, so we have [E : Q] = 6 = 3!.

**1.6.6.** Algebraic Number. A complex number is said to be an algebraic number if it is algebraic over the field of rational numbers.

**1.6.7.** Algebraic Integer. An algebraic number is said to be an algebraic integer if it satisfies a monic polynomial over integers.

Exercise. Find the splitting field and its degree over Q for the polynomials

(a) 
$$f(x) = x^{p}-1$$
  
(b)  $f(x) = x^{4}-1$ 

(c) 
$$f(x) = x^2 + 3$$

**Exercise.** Show that the polynomials  $x^2+3$  and  $x^2+x+1$  have same splitting field over Q.

**Exercise.** Show that sinm<sup>0</sup> is an algebraic integer for every integer m.

**Exercise.** Show that  $\sqrt{2} + \sqrt[3]{5}$  is algebraic over Q of degree 6.

**1.6.8. Example.** If  $a \in K$  is algebraic over F of odd degree show that  $F(a) = F(a^2)$ .

**Solution.** Let K be an extension of F and  $a \in K$  be algebraic of odd degree. Let p(x) be minimal polynomial of 'a'. We can write

$$p(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_{2n} x^{2n} + \alpha_{2n+1} x^{2n+1}$$
  
Now,  $a \in F(a) \implies a^2 \in F(a) \implies F(a^2) \subseteq F(a) \qquad \dots(1)$ 

To prove  $F(a) \subseteq F(a^2)$ , it is sufficient to prove that  $a \in F(a^2)$ .

We are given that p(a) = 0, that is,

$$\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}a + \dots + \alpha_{2n}a^{2n} + \alpha_{2n+1}a^{2n+1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a(\alpha_{2n+1}a^{2n} + \alpha_{2n-1}a^{2n-1} + \dots + \alpha_{1}) + \alpha_{2n}a^{2n} + \alpha_{2n-2}a^{2n-2} + \dots + \alpha_{0} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a(\alpha_{2n+1}a^{2n} + \alpha_{2n-1}a^{2n-2} + \dots + \alpha_{1}) = -(\alpha_{2n}a^{2n} + \alpha_{2n-2}a^{2n-2} + \dots + \alpha_{0})$$

$$\Rightarrow aX = -Y \qquad \dots (2)$$
where  $X = \alpha_{2n+1}a^{2n} + \alpha_{2n-1}a^{2n-2} + \dots + \alpha_{1}, Y = \alpha_{2n}a^{2n} + \alpha_{2n-2}a^{2n-2} + \dots + \alpha_{0}$  in F(a<sup>2</sup>).

Now, we prove that  $X \neq 0$ .

If X = 0, then 'a' satisfies the polynomial

$$\alpha_{2n+1}x^{2n} + \alpha_{2n-1}x^{2n-2} + \dots + \alpha_1$$

which is of degree 2n < degp(x).

But p(x) is minimal polynomial of 'a' which is a contradiction. Hence  $X \neq 0$  and so X<sup>-1</sup> exists. By (2),

$$\mathbf{a} = -\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X}^{-1}$$

But  $X \in F(a^2), Y \in F(a^2) \implies -YX^{-1} \in F(a^2) \implies a \in F(a^2)$ .

Therefore,  $F(a) \subseteq F(a^2)$ 

By (1) and (3), we have

$$F(a) = F(a^2)$$

**Remark.** Let F be a field of characteristic p and let  $f(x) = x^{p-1}$ .

Then,  $f'(x) = px^{p-1} = 0$  [:: p.1 = 0].

So, degree of f'(x) depends upon the characteristic of field considered.

Again, let  $F = \{0, 1\}$  be the given field and f(x) be a polynomial over F given by

$$f(x) = x^{10} + x^9 + \dots + x + 1$$

Then,  $f'(x) = 10x^9 + 9x^8 + \dots + 2x + 1 = 0x^9 + x^8 + \dots + 1 = x^8 + x^6 + \dots + 1$ 

So,  $\deg f'(x) = 8$ .

**1.6.9. Lemma.**Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be a non-constant polynomial. Then, an element  $\alpha$  of field extension K of F is a multiple root of f(x) iff  $\alpha$  is a common root of f(x) and f'(x).

---(3)

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha$  be a root of f(x) of multiplicity m > 1. Then, we can write

$$f(x) = (x - \alpha)^m g(x), \quad g(x) \in K[x] \text{ and } g(\alpha) \neq 0$$
$$f'(x) = m(x - \alpha)^{m-1} g(x) + (x - \alpha)^m g'(x)$$
$$f'(\alpha) = m(\alpha - \alpha)^{m-1} g(\alpha) + (\alpha - \alpha)^m g'(\alpha) = 0$$

Thus,  $\alpha$  is a root f'(x) also.

Conversely, let  $\alpha$  is a common root of f(x) and f'(x). Then, we have to prove that  $\alpha$  is a multiple root of f(x).

Let, if possible,  $\alpha$  is not a multiple root of f(x).

Then,  $f(x) = (x - \alpha)g(x)$ ,  $g(x) \in K[x]$  and  $g(\alpha) \neq 0$ .

Therefore,  $f'(x) = g(x) + (x - \alpha)g'(x)$  and so  $f'(\alpha) = g(\alpha) = 0$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $\alpha$  is a multiple root of f(x).

**1.6.10. Lemma.** Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be irreducible polynomial over F, then f(x) has a multiple root in some extension of F iff f'(x) = 0 identically.

**Proof.** Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  has a multiple root of multiplicity m > 1, in some extension K of F where f(x) is an irreducible polynomial over F.

Let  $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + ... + \lambda_n x^n \in F[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree n. Let  $\alpha$  be its multiple root of multiplicity m > 1. Then, by above lemma,  $\alpha$  is also a root of f'(x), that is,  $f'(\alpha) = 0$ . But  $f'(x) = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 x + ... + n\lambda_n x^{n-1} \in F[x]$  and deg  $f'(x) \le n-1$ .

W.L.O.G., we can assume that  $\lambda_n = 1$  so that f(x) is monic and irreducible polynomial and hence is minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . But  $\alpha$  satisfies f'(x). Therefore, f(x) | f'(x).

Thus, f'(x) = 0 identically, since deg  $f'(x) \le \deg f(x)$ .

Conversely, let f'(x) = 0 and K the splitting field of f(x) over F. Let deg f(x) = n.

Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n$  be the roots of f(x) in K. We can write

$$f(x) = \lambda(x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2)...(x - \lambda_n)$$
 for some  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$ .

Then, we have

$$f'(x) = \lambda(x - \lambda_2)...(x - \lambda_n) + \lambda(x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_3)...(x - \lambda_n) + ... + \lambda(x - \lambda_1)(x - \lambda_2)...(x - \lambda_{n-1})$$
  
$$\Rightarrow f'(\lambda_i) = \lambda(\lambda_i - \lambda_1)...(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1})(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1})...(\lambda_i - \lambda_n)$$

Now, since f'(x) = 0 identically, so  $f'(\lambda_i) = 0$ . But  $\lambda \neq 0 \implies \lambda_i = \lambda_i$  for some  $i \neq j$ .

Therefore, f(x) has multiple roots.

**1.6.11.** Corollary. Let charF = 0 and f(x) be any irreducible polynomial over F, then f(x) cannot have multiple roots.

**Proof.** Let degf(x) = n > 1.

Let  $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + ... + \lambda_n x^n \in F[x]$ . Here n > 1 and  $\lambda_n \neq 0$ .

$$f'(x) = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 x + \dots + n\lambda_n x^n$$

Now,  $n\lambda_n \neq 0 \implies f'(\alpha) \neq 0 \implies f'(x) \neq 0$ 

Hence by above lemma, f(x) cannot have multiple roots.

**Remark.** Any irreducible polynomial over field of rationals, field of reals or field of complex numbers cannot have multiple roots because all these fields are of characteristic zero.

**1.7. Separable polynomial.** Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be any polynomial of degree n > 1, then it is said to be separable over F if all its irreducible factors are separable. Otherwise f(x) is said to be inseparable.

**1.7.1. Separable irreducible polynomial.** An irreducible polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  of degree n is said to be separable over F if it has n distinct roots in its splitting field, that is, it has no multiple roots.

**1.7.2. Inseparable irreducible polynomial.** An irreducible polynomial which is not separable over F is called inseparable over F. Equivalently, if  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is irreducible polynomial having multiple roots of multiplicity n > 1 is called inseparable over F.

**Remark.** By the corollary of above lemma, we conclude that inseparable implies  $ch.F \neq 0$  and ch.F = 0 implies separable. But converse is not true, that is, if  $ch.F \neq 0$ , then the polynomial may be separable or inseparable.

**1.7.3. Lemma.** Let  $ch.F = p(\neq 0)$  and  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial over F. Then, f(x) is inseparable iff  $f(x) \in F[x^p]$ .

**Proof.** Let f(x) be any irreducible polynomial over F of degree n and is separable. Let

$$f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \dots + \lambda_n x^n, \quad \lambda_n \neq 0$$

Therefore,  $f'(x) = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 x + ... + n\lambda_n x^{n-1}$ 

Since  $f(x) \in F[x]$  is irreducible polynomial and is inseparable, so f(x) must have repeated roots. Therefore,

$$f'(x) = 0 \implies \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 x + \dots + n\lambda_n x^{n-1} = 0 \implies \lambda_1 = 2\lambda_2 = \dots = n\lambda_n = 0 \quad ---(*)$$

Since  $\lambda_i \in F$  and ch. F p > 0. Therefore, if  $k\lambda_i = 0 \implies p \mid k \text{ or if } p \nmid k$ , then  $\lambda_i = 0$ .

Therefore, by (\*), we get

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \ldots = \lambda_{p-1} = 0$$

and  $p\lambda_p = 0 \implies \lambda_p$  may or may not be zero.

Further,  $(p+1)\lambda_{p+1} = 0 \implies \lambda_{p+1} = 0$ . So

$$\lambda_{p+1} = \lambda_{p+2} = \dots = \lambda_{2p-1} = 0$$

Again,  $2p\lambda_{2p} = 0 \implies \lambda_{2p}$  may or may not be zero and so on. Therefore,

 $f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_p x^p + \lambda_{2p} x^{2p} + \dots + \lambda_m x^{mp}$ 

where n = mp if  $\lambda_m \neq 0$ . Thus,

$$f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_p x^p + \lambda_{2p} \left(x^p\right)^2 + \dots + \lambda_m \left(x^p\right)^m \in F[x^p]$$

Conversely, if  $f(x) \in F[x^p]$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \lambda_0 + \lambda_p x^p + \lambda_{2p} x^{2p} + \ldots + \lambda_k x^{k_l} \end{split}$$
 where  $\lambda_0, \lambda_p, \lambda_{2p}, \ldots, \lambda_k \in F$ .

Then,  $f'(x) = 0 + p\lambda_p x^{p-1} + 2p\lambda_{2p} x^{2p-1} + \dots + kp\lambda_k x^{kp-1} = 0$  [*ch*.*F* = *p*].

Thus, f(x) has multiple roots and hence f(x) is inseparable.

**1.7.4. Separable Element.** Let K be any extension of F. An algebraic element  $\alpha \in K$  is said to be separable over F if the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  is separable over F.

**1.7.5. Separable Extension.** An algebraic extension K of F is called separable extension if every element of K is separable.

**1.7.6.** Proposition. Prove that if ch.F = 0, then any algebraic extension of F is always separable extension.

**Proof.** Given that ch.F = 0 and let K be any algebraic extension of F. Let  $\alpha \in K$ . Then,  $\alpha$  is algebraic over F.

So, let p(x) be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over F. Then, p(x) is irreducible polynomial over F and so p(x) is separable.

Therefore,  $\alpha$  is separable. But  $\alpha$  was an arbitrary element of K. So, K is separable extension.

1.7.7. Perfect Field. A field F is called perfect if all its finite extensions are separable.

**1.7.8. Theorem.** Let K be an algebraic extension of F, where F is a perfect field then K is separable extension of F.

**Proof.** Let  $a \in K$ . Since K is algebraic, so 'a' is algebraic over F. Therefore,

[F(a) : F] = degree of minimal polynomial of 'a' over F = r (say)

Thus, F(a) is finite extension. But F is perfect, therefore, F(a) is separable extension. So, 'a' is separable over F.

Hence K is separable.

**1.7.9. Theorem.** Let ch.F = p > 0. Prove that the element 'a' in some extension of F is separable iff  $F(a^p) = F(a)$ .

**Proof.** Let K be some extension of F such that  $a \in K$  and 'a' is separable over F. So, 'a' is algebraic element with its minimal polynomial, say

$$f(x) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + ... + \lambda_{n-1} x^{n-1} + x^n$$

and f(x) has no multiple roots.

Let g(x) be the polynomial

$$g(x) = \lambda_0^p + \lambda_1^p x + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}^p x^{n-1} + x^n$$

Then,

$$g(a^{p}) = \lambda_{0}^{p} + \lambda_{1}^{p} a^{p} + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}^{p} a^{(n-1)p} + a^{np} = \left(\lambda_{0} + \lambda_{1} a + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} a^{n-1} + a^{n}\right)^{p} = \left(f(a)\right)^{p} = 0$$

Therefore,  $a^p$  satisfies a polynomial  $g(x) \in F[x]$ .

Now,  $a \in F(a) \implies a^p \in F(a) \implies F(a^p) \subseteq F(a)$  ---(1)

Further,  $F(a^p)$  and F(a) both are vector spaces over F and  $F(a^p) \subseteq F(a)$ , therefore,

 $[F(a^{p}):F] \leq [F(a):F] = n$ 

We claim that  $[F(a^p):F] = n$ .

We know that  $[F(a^{p}):F]$  = degree of minimal polynomial of  $a^{p}$  over F.

We shall prove that g(x) is minimal polynomial of  $a^p$  over F. For this, it is sufficient to prove that g(x) is an irreducible polynomial.

.....

Let  $h(x) \in F[x]$  be a factor of g(x). Then,

$$g(x) = h(x)t(x)$$

for some  $t(x) \in F[x]$ . Thus,

$$g(x^p) = h(x^p)t(x^p)$$

and so  $h(x^p)$  is a factor of  $g(x^p)$  in F[x].

But 
$$g(x^p) = \lambda_0^p + \lambda_1^p x^p + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}^p x^{(n-1)p} + x^{np} = (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 x + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} x^{n-1} + x^n)^p = (f(x))^p$$

 $\Rightarrow h(x^p) | (f(x))^p \Rightarrow h(x^p) = (f(x))^k \text{ for some integer } k, \ 0 \le k \le p.$ 

Taking derivatives both sides

$$h'(x^p) p x^{p-1} = k (f(x))^{k-1} f'(x) \implies 0 = k (f(x))^{k-1} f'(x) \text{ [ch.} F = p]$$

Since f(x) is separable polynomial so  $f'(x) \neq 0$ . Therefore, either k = 0 or k = p.

If 
$$k = p$$
, then  $h(x^p) = (f(x))^p = g(x^p) \implies h(x) = g(x)$ .

If k = 0, then  $h(x^p) = (f(x))^0 = 1 \implies h(x^p) = 1$ , a constant function, so h(x) = 1.

Thus, g(x) is irreducible polynomial of degree n, therefore,

$$[F(a^p):F] = n.$$

Hence  $[F(a^p):F] = [F(a):F] \implies F(a^p) = F(a)$ .

Conversely, suppose  $F(a^p) = F(a)$ .

We claim that 'a' is separable over F.

Let, if possible, 'a' is not separable.

Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be the minimal polynomial of 'a'. Then, by our assumption f(x) is not separable over F. Since ch.F = p > 0 and f(x) is inseparable over F.

So, 
$$f(x) \in F[x^p]$$

Let  $f(x) = g(x^p)$  for some  $g(x) \in F[x] \implies g(a^p) = f(a) = 0$ .

 $a^p$  is a root of the polynomial  $g(x) \in F[x]$ . But

deg 
$$f(x) = \frac{\deg f(x)}{p} = \frac{n}{p}$$
, where n = deg f(x).

Therefore, degree of minimal polynomial of  $a^p \leq \frac{n}{p}$ .

So, we get 
$$n = [F(a):F] = [F(a^p):F] \le \frac{n}{p}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence 'a' is separable over F.

## 1.8. Check Your Progress.

- 1. Find the splitting field of  $x^5$ -1 over Q.
- 2. Find the splitting field of  $x^2$ -9 over Q.
- 3. Show that [K : F] = 1 if and only if K = F.

# 1.9. Summary.

In this chapter, we have defined Extension of a field and derived various results. The result worth mentioning is that if p(x) is a polynomial of degree n over some field F, then the number of zeros, to be considered, of this polynomial depends on the extension that we are considering.

# **Books Suggested:**

- 1. Luther, I.S., Passi, I.B.S., Algebra, Vol. IV-Field Theory, Narosa Publishing House, 2012.
- 2. Stewart, I., Galios Theory, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2004.
- 3. Sahai, V., Bist, V., Algebra, Narosa Publishing House, 1999.
- 4. Bhattacharya, P.B., Jain, S.K., Nagpaul, S.R., Basic Abstract Algebra (2nd Edition), Cambridge University Press, Indian Edition, 1997.
- 5. Lang, S., Algebra, 3rd edition, Addison-Wesley, 1993.
- 6. Adamson, I. T., Introduction to Field Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- 7. Herstein, I.N., Topics in Algebra, Wiley Eastern Ltd., New Delhi, 1975.